



**Summary of the decisions taken at the meeting
of the Executive held on Monday 1 February 2016**

1. Date of publication of this summary: 2 February 2016
2. Decisions (if any) taken as a matter of urgency under Overview and Scrutiny Procedure Rules as set out in the Constitution (and not therefore subject to the call-in procedure): None
3. Date by which notice of call-in of any of the following decisions must be received in writing by the Chief Executive (see notes below):- Noon on Friday 5 February 2016
4. Notes:-
 - (a) For background documentation to the following decisions, please refer to the agenda and supporting papers (copies of which are available on the Council's website (www.cherwell.gov.uk) or from Democratic Services);
 - (b) Notice of call-in must be submitted in writing, by email or text to the Chief Executive by the deadline specified above, and must state the reason or reasons why "call-in" has been requested;
 - (c) Call-in can be requested by any six non-executive members of the Council.
However, if at any point during a municipal year the total number of opposition councillors is six or less the total number of non-executive members required to call-in a decision shall be the total number of opposition councillors less two.
 - (d) Decisions not called-in by the deadline specified above will become effective immediately the deadline has expired (unless they are recommendations to the Council).
 - (e) The Council has stipulated that the call-in procedure should not be used to challenge decisions as a matter of course and should be used only when fully justified.

**Sue Smith
Chief Executive**

Agenda Item and Recommendation	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options	Conflicts of Interest Declared and Dispensations Granted by Head of Paid Service
<p>Agenda Item 7 Banbury Museum Trust Development</p> <p>Report of Director of Operational Delivery</p> <p>Recommendations</p> <p>The meeting is recommended:</p> <p>1.1 To note the report.</p> <p>1.2 To support the development plans of the Banbury Museum Trust.</p>	<p>Resolved</p> <p>(1) That the report be noted.</p> <p>(2) That the development plans of the Banbury Museum Trust be supported.</p>	<p>Banbury Museum is entering into the next exciting phase to provide residents with a first class Museum service that builds upon the strong foundations and sound financial management established by Cherwell District Council and capitalises on the wider range of possibilities now available as a charitable trust with a strong and committed independent board.</p> <p>Supporting the development plans of the Trust aligns with the corporate growth objectives of The Council</p>	<p>Option 1: Not agree the development plan. That will be a matter for the Executive to determine. To date, officer and Lead Member support has been given. There is also a need to be mindful of the fact that the development plan is not the Council's but the Council as landlord does have an influence.</p>	<p>None</p>
<p>Agenda Item 8 Community Spaces and Development Study</p>	<p>Resolved</p> <p>(1) That the Community Spaces and</p>	<p>Through the commissioning of this report Cherwell District Council has been able to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Determine a sound basis 	<p>Option 1: Not develop standards for the provision of indoor community recreation.</p>	<p>None</p>

Agenda Item and Recommendation	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options	Conflicts of Interest Declared and Dispensations Granted by Head of Paid Service
<p>Report of Director of Operational Delivery</p> <p>Recommendations</p> <p>The meeting is recommended to</p> <p>1.1 Approve the Community Spaces and Development Study (appendix 1) as a basis for developing CDC's community development approach in new neighbourhoods.</p> <p>1.2 Agree the principle of housing developers contributing to CDC co-ordinated community development work, to establish thriving and well integrated new neighbourhoods across</p>	<p>Development Study be approved as a basis for developing CDC's community development approach in new neighbourhoods.</p> <p>(2) That the principle of housing developers contributing to CDC co-ordinated community development work, to establish thriving and well integrated new neighbourhoods across the District be agreed.</p> <p>(3) That the principle of housing developers providing new (or contributing to the expansion of existing) neighbourhood</p>	<p>for the calculation of developer contributions towards community spaces (either the construction of new space or the extension and improvement of existing spaces).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Present a comprehensive analysis of existing community spaces that can be updated annually to inform future development requirements. • Present a requirement for community development activity to ensure new residents are integrated and engaged in the life of their new neighbourhood • Identify further research opportunities into the ownership and management of community buildings to 	<p>Reason: Without evidence to justify them, developers would be likely to contest contribution requests, resulting in new neighbourhoods having no, or inadequate community hall space.</p> <p>Option 2: Not develop standards for the provision of community development activity.</p> <p>Reason: Without evidence to justify them, developers would be likely to contest contribution requests, leading to new neighbourhoods struggling to develop as thriving, well integrated communities.</p>	

Agenda Item and Recommendation	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options	Conflicts of Interest Declared and Dispensations Granted by Head of Paid Service
<p>the District.</p> <p>1.3 Agree the principle of housing developers providing new (or contributing to the expansion of existing) neighbourhood community halls, based on an allocation of community space per head of proposed population.</p>	<p>community halls, based on an allocation of community space per head of proposed population be agreed.</p>	<p>coincide with the Cherwell District Council Asset Review</p> <p>Pending the Developer Contributions SPD, the findings and recommendations of this study can be used as a basis for negotiations on planning applications.</p>		
<p>Agenda Item 9 North West Bicester Supplementary Planning Document (SPD)</p> <p>Report of Head of Strategic Planning and the Economy</p> <p>Recommendations</p> <p>The meeting is recommended:</p>	<p>Resolved</p> <p>(1) That the progress in preparing the North West Bicester SPD since the update report to the Executive on 1 June 2015 be noted.</p> <p>(2) That the response to</p>	<p>This report updates the Executive on the further work that has been completed to progress the SPD to adoption. Following further consultation on the North West Bicester SPD at the end of 2015 the final version of the North West Bicester SPD has been prepared. The consultation has not</p>	<p>Option 1: To not progress the preparation of the North West Bicester SPD and rely only on the adopted Cherwell Local Plan 2011-2031 Policy Bicester 1 – rejected given the general support for the content of the SPD, and its role in guiding the preparation of planning</p>	<p>None</p>

Agenda Item and Recommendation	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options	Conflicts of Interest Declared and Dispensations Granted by Head of Paid Service
<p>1.1 To note the progress in preparing the North West Bicester SPD since the update report to the Executive on 1 June 2015.</p> <p>1.2 To agree the response to the representations received and resulting changes made to the SPD and Statement of Consultation (Appendix 2 and Appendix 3).</p> <p>1.3 To recommend to Full Council to resolve to adopt as a Supplementary Planning Document the North West Bicester SPD (Appendix 1) in accordance with the Town and Country Planning (Local</p>	<p>the representations received and resulting changes made to the SPD and Statement of Consultation be agreed.</p> <p>(3) That, subject to the removal of all reference to Local Management Organisations, Full Council be recommended to resolve to adopt as a Supplementary Planning Document the North West Bicester SPD in accordance with the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) (England) Regulations 2012.</p> <p>(4) That authority be</p>	<p>identified any new issues that have not already been considered in the production of the draft SPD. It is recommended that the Executive recommend to Full Council to resolve to formally adopt the SPD, with delegated authority to the Head of Strategic Planning and the Economy to compile the final SPD for publication combining the amended text with illustrations, and correct any minor spelling or grammatical errors.</p> <p>The inclusion of Local Management Organisations (LMOs) is premature and therefore all reference to LMOs will be removed from the SPD submitted to Full Council.</p>	<p>applications and as informal detailed guidance in determining planning applications.</p>	

Agenda Item and Recommendation	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options	Conflicts of Interest Declared and Dispensations Granted by Head of Paid Service
<p>Planning) (England) Regulations 2012.</p> <p>1.4 To delegate to the Head of Strategic Planning and the Economy prior to the publication of the Supplementary Planning Document, the compilation of the final document incorporating the updated text (Appendix 1) and illustrations, and the correction of any minor spelling or grammatical errors.</p>	<p>delegated to the Head of Strategic Planning and the Economy prior to the publication of the Supplementary Planning Document, to compile the final document subject to the removal of all reference to Local Management Organisations, incorporate the updated text and illustrations, and correct any minor spelling or grammatical errors.</p>			
<p>Agenda Item 10 Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule</p> <p>Report of Head of Strategic</p>	<p>Resolved</p> <p>(1) That the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule be</p>	<p>Members are not asked at this stage to make a decision on whether to implement CIL in Cherwell but only to endorse a Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule for</p>	<p>Not consulting on the CIL Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule Officers consider that without proceeding with this consultation the</p>	<p>None</p>

Agenda Item and Recommendation	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options	Conflicts of Interest Declared and Dispensations Granted by Head of Paid Service
<p>Planning and the Economy</p> <p>Recommendations</p> <p>The meeting is recommended:</p> <p>1.1 To endorse the CIL Preliminary Draft Charging Schedule (Appendix 2) for a six week public consultation.</p> <p>1.2 To note the viability and infrastructure evidence (Appendices 3 to 5) supporting the CIL consultation.</p>	<p>endorsed for a six week public consultation.</p> <p>(2) That the viability and infrastructure evidence supporting the CIL consultation be noted.</p>	<p>consultation.</p> <p>From April 2015 all Councils have had to operate a system of scaled back S106s: contributions for on-site infrastructure and pooling of a limit of five S106s towards an item of infrastructure. Subject to consultation and any required amendments CIL could contribute towards supporting infrastructure in the district and help maximise resource income which would otherwise not be available.</p> <p>It is recommended that the Executive endorses the CIL preliminary Draft Charging Schedule for a six week public consultation from February to March 2016.</p>	<p>Council will not be able to assess the potential benefits of implementing CIL. Consultation will help ensure a robust and transparent process.</p>	

Agenda Item and Recommendation	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options	Conflicts of Interest Declared and Dispensations Granted by Head of Paid Service
<p>Agenda Item 11 Progress of Superfast Broadband</p> <p>Report of Head of Strategic Planning and the Economy</p> <p>Recommendations</p> <p>The meeting is recommended:</p> <p>1.1 To note the financial contribution of £545,000 from the New Homes Bonus being made by the Council to extend the availability of Superfast Broadband to homes and business premises across the district by December 2017.</p> <p>1.2 To support the investigation of alternative solutions</p>	<p>Resolved</p> <p>(1) That the financial contribution of £545,000 from the New Homes Bonus being made by the Council to extend the availability of Superfast Broadband to homes and business premises across the district by December 2017 be noted.</p> <p>(2) That the investigation of alternative solutions towards extending the availability of Superfast Broadband to all premises as soon as possible through continued work with businesses, communities and</p>	<p>The Council's decision to invest £545,000 from Cherwell District Council's New Homes Bonus Economic Growth reserve will extend Superfast Broadband across the district over the next two years. This is an important contribution as it has attracted further funding from Government (BDUK), SEMLEP and other partners.</p> <p>The Council's funding is part of over £35million being invested within Oxfordshire by the end of 2017. The percentage of county homes and businesses able to get superfast fibre broadband will be increased to more than 95 per cent (over 75,000) when combined with earlier phases of the Better Broadband for Oxfordshire programme and BT's commercial roll-out.</p>	<p>Option 1: To not contribute to the extension of the Better Broadband for Oxfordshire programme was rejected by the Executive as it was considered important to maximise the availability of Superfast Broadband across the district due to market failure and the availability of match funding from external sources.</p> <p>Option 2: To invest the full £1 million of Council's New Homes Bonus in phase two roll-out was rejected because it has the potential to be more effectively used in a third phase to draw additional funding from partners to assist the final 5 per cent of premises.</p>	<p>None</p>

Agenda Item and Recommendation	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options	Conflicts of Interest Declared and Dispensations Granted by Head of Paid Service
<p>towards extending the availability of Superfast Broadband to all premises as soon as possible through continued work with businesses, communities and partner organisations.</p> <p>1.3 To consider in due course the most effective use of the remaining £455,000 of new Homes Bonus to address the most hard to connect premises.</p>	<p>partner organisations be supported.</p> <p>(3) That it be agreed to consider in due course the most effective use of the remaining £455,000 of new Homes Bonus to address the most hard to connect premises.</p>	<p>The estimate of additional coverage in Cherwell for phase two of the programme, combining SEMLEP and Cherwell investments, is 3,069 TPP (Total Premises Passed).</p> <p>The final 5 per cent of premises will be more challenging to connect as they will typically be more isolated and require use of alternative technologies due to the cost of laying fibre optic cable. The Council should therefore maintain its close involvement with the Programme over the next two years, during which time solutions to assist remaining premises can be investigated alongside further initiatives expected from Government.</p>		

Agenda Item and Recommendation	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options	Conflicts of Interest Declared and Dispensations Granted by Head of Paid Service
<p>Agenda Item 12 Three Way Working and the Confederation</p> <p>Report of Chief Executive</p> <p>Recommendations</p> <p>The meeting is recommended:</p> <p>1.1 To note the progress towards the implementation of the business case for a two way confederation approach as adopted by Council in February 2015 as the basis for the delivery of savings, increased resilience, business improvement and income generation in the medium term.</p> <p>1.2 To agree that, following</p>	<p>Resolved</p> <p>(1) That the progress towards the implementation of the business case for a two way confederation approach as adopted by Council in February 2015 as the basis for the delivery of savings, increased resilience, business improvement and income generation in the medium term be noted.</p> <p>(2) That it be agreed that, following discussions with Stratford on Avon DC (SDC), no further three way joint working proposals with SDC be developed as SDC have indicated they do</p>	<p>In 2013 and early 2014 there was a high level of strategic alignment between the three councils who all committed to an innovative programme of joint working and delivered savings through the implementation of shared ICT and Legal services.</p> <p>As the programme has progressed at pace for CDC and SNC and as SDC has looked increasingly to the West Midlands region as the devolution agenda has developed it is clear that the strategic fit between the three partners is no longer in place. At this stage it is believed to be the best course of action to end the partnership with SDC which will enable CDC and SNC to focus on the delivery of the next stage of</p>	<p>Not to agree the recommendations. This is rejected as following discussions with SDC at a senior political level in December 2015 it is clear that they cannot commit to the same transformation objectives as CDC and SNC.</p>	<p>None</p>

Agenda Item and Recommendation	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options	Conflicts of Interest Declared and Dispensations Granted by Head of Paid Service
<p>discussions with Stratford on Avon DC (SDC), no further three way joint working proposals with SDC be developed as SDC have indicated they do not wish to pursue the confederation as their future operating model.</p> <p>1.3 To agree that the existing three way shared services (Legal and ICT) are reviewed by officers to consider their suitability for continued three way working in light of the fact that SDC do not wish to pursue the confederation and to request that, subject to the approval of recommendation 1.4 below, officers report to</p>	<p>not wish to pursue the confederation as their future operating model.</p> <p>(3) That it be agreed that the existing three way shared services (Legal and ICT) be reviewed by officers to consider their suitability for continued three way working in light of the fact that SDC do not wish to pursue the confederation and to request that officers report to the Joint Commissioning Committee on proposed governance and operational delivery arrangements to ensure effective continuation of either or both of these</p>	<p>their transformation programme.</p> <p>Existing three way shared services will be considered in the light of this position and the best arrangements for future service delivery ascertained.</p>		

Agenda Item and Recommendation	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options	Conflicts of Interest Declared and Dispensations Granted by Head of Paid Service
<p>the Joint Commissioning Committee on proposed governance and operational delivery arrangements to ensure effective continuation of either or both of these shared service arrangements on a three way basis should such reviews conclude that this option is considered to be desirable.</p> <p>1.4 Subject to the reviews referred to in recommendation 1.3 above recommending the continuation of three way working for Legal and/or ICT, to delegate authority to the Joint Commissioning</p>	<p>shared service arrangements on a three way basis should such reviews conclude that this option is considered to be desirable.</p> <p>(4) That, subject to the reviews referred to in resolution (3) recommending the suitability of the continuation of three way working for Legal and/or ICT, authority be delegated to the Joint Commissioning Committee to take any executive decisions on the future governance and operational delivery of the said teams to ensure the services are able to continue to meet the</p>			

Agenda Item and Recommendation	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options	Conflicts of Interest Declared and Dispensations Granted by Head of Paid Service
<p>Committee to take any executive decisions on the future governance and operational delivery of the said teams to ensure the services are able to continue to meet the strategic objectives of South Northamptonshire Council, Cherwell District Council, and the confederation delivery model.</p> <p>1.5 To note that SDC are willing to continue the operation of the three way transformation team until the secondment period finishes in March 2017 and recommend to Council that the Transformation Team Section 113 agreement</p>	<p>strategic objectives of South Northamptonshire Council, Cherwell District Council, and the confederation delivery model.</p> <p>(5) That it be noted that SDC is willing to continue the operation of the three way transformation team until the secondment period finishes in March 2017 and Full Council be recommended to agree that the Transformation Team Section 113 agreement (between Cherwell, South Northants and Stratford on Avon) be extended to reflect this</p>			

Agenda Item and Recommendation	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options	Conflicts of Interest Declared and Dispensations Granted by Head of Paid Service
(between Cherwell, South Northants and Stratford on Avon) be extended to reflect this (subject to a similar decision being made by SDC).	(subject to a similar decision being made by SDC).			
<p>Agenda Item 13 Council Tax Discounts 2016-17</p> <p>Report of Head of Finance and Procurement</p> <p>Recommendations</p> <p>The meeting is recommended:</p> <p>1.1 To agree a recommendation to Full Council in respect of locally set Council Tax discounts as part of the budget setting process</p>	<p>Resolved</p> <p>(1) That Full Council be recommended to agree the following council tax discounts for 2016-17 as part of the budget setting process:</p> <p>a. Unoccupied and unfurnished discount for maximum period of 6 months without reoccupation of 6 weeks in between – 25% discount.</p>	<p>Since 2013-14 the Council has had discretion in setting the level of some Council Tax discounts. This discretion can help mitigate some of the costs of maintaining an unchanged Council Tax Reduction scheme.</p> <p>The Executive is requested to note the contents of this report and the potential financial implications on the Council and to determine a recommendation for Council to consider.</p>	<p>Option 1: To leave the discounts unchanged. This would leave the Council Tax scheme open to abuse and would be a cost to the Council.</p>	<p>None</p>

Agenda Item and Recommendation	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options	Conflicts of Interest Declared and Dispensations Granted by Head of Paid Service
for 2016-17.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> b. Unfurnished and uninhabitable discount for a maximum period of 12 months without reoccupation of 6 weeks in between – 25% discount c. Second home (non-work related) discount – 0% discount d. Furnished properties 0% discount for second homes e. Empty and unfurnished long term empty property discount – 0% discount 			

Agenda Item and Recommendation	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options	Conflicts of Interest Declared and Dispensations Granted by Head of Paid Service
<p>Agenda Item 14 Draft Budget and Corporate Business Plan 2016-2017</p> <p>Report of Director of Resources</p> <p>Recommendations</p> <p>The meeting is recommended:</p> <p>1.1 To consider and approve the draft budget in the context of the Council's service objectives and strategic priorities.</p> <p>1.2 To approve and recommend the balanced budget to Full Council.</p> <p>1.3 To recommend to Full Council a Council tax freeze.</p>	<p>Resolved</p> <p>(1) That the draft budget, in the context of the Council's service objectives and strategic priorities, be endorsed.</p> <p>(2) That Full Council be recommended to approve the balanced budget.</p> <p>(3) That Full Council be recommended to approve a Council tax freeze.</p> <p>(4) That Full Council be recommended to approve the proposed 2016/17 capital programme (annex to the Minutes as set out in the Minute Book).</p>	<p>This report presents a final analysis of the Council's draft revenue and capital budget and business plan for 2016/17. They will be presented together to Full Council on the 22 February to support the setting of the Council Tax.</p> <p>It is a legal requirement to set a balanced budget and the recommendations as set out and directed by the corporate business plan represent what is believed to be the best way of achieving this.</p>	<p>Members could decide not to agree the recommendations or to present alternative budget proposals but that would run counter to the detailed budget setting process that has taken place as part of the formulation of this budget.</p>	<p>None</p>

Agenda Item and Recommendation	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options	Conflicts of Interest Declared and Dispensations Granted by Head of Paid Service
<p>1.4 To recommend to full council the proposed 2016/17 capital programme, see Appendix 5.</p> <p>1.5 To note the latest Medium Term Revenue Plan (MTRP) for 2016/17 to 2020/1, at Appendix 3; this will be the basis of the work of the Budget Planning Committee for the following year.</p> <p>1.6 To recommend, subject to any further changes Members may wish to include tonight, the updated draft revenue budget for adoption by Full Council on 22 February 2016.</p>	<p>(5) That the latest Medium Term Revenue Plan (MTRP) for 2016/17 to 2020/21 be noted and it be further noted that this would be the basis of the work of the Budget Planning Committee for the following year.</p> <p>(6) That no further changes be made and Full Council be recommended to approve the draft budget (annex to the Minutes as set out in the Minute Book) at their meeting on 22 February 2016.</p> <p>(7) That authority be delegated to the Head of Finance and</p>			

Agenda Item and Recommendation	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options	Conflicts of Interest Declared and Dispensations Granted by Head of Paid Service
<p>1.7 To delegate authority to the Head of Finance and Procurement, in consultation with Director of Resources and the Lead Member for Financial Management to amend the contributions to or from general fund balances to allow the Council Tax to remain at the level recommended to Full Council following the announcement of the final settlement figures, any changes to relating to Business Rates or as a result of any financial implications arising from recommendation 1.6, above.</p> <p>1.8 To recommend the 2016/17 business plan</p>	<p>Procurement, in consultation with Director of Resources and the Lead Member for Financial Management to amend the contributions to or from general fund balances to allow the Council Tax to remain at the level recommended to Full Council following the announcement of the final settlement figures and any changes to relating to Business Rates.</p> <p>(8) That Full Council be recommended to approve the 2016/17 business plan and performance pledges to Full Council</p>			

Agenda Item and Recommendation	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options	Conflicts of Interest Declared and Dispensations Granted by Head of Paid Service
<p>and performance pledges to Full Council (detailed in Appendices 6 and 7 respectively).</p> <p>1.9 To note the 2016/17 Business Plan and Budget Equality Impact Assessment (detailed in Appendix 8).</p>	<p>(annexes to the Minutes as set out in the Minute Book).</p> <p>(9) That the 2016/17 Business Plan and Budget Equality Impact Assessment be noted.</p>			
<p>Agenda Item 15 Proposal for a Joint Customer Service Team with South Northamptonshire Council</p> <p>Report of Director of Operational Delivery</p> <p>Recommendations</p> <p>The meeting is recommended:</p> <p>1.1 To consider the</p>	<p>Resolved</p> <p>(1) That the final business case for a Joint Customer Service Team with South Northamptonshire, in relation to non-staffing matters, be noted.</p> <p>(2) That it be noted that the business case had been considered and approved on 14</p>	<p>The business case represents another milestone in the revised transformation programme across CDC and SNC.</p> <p>A two-way customer services team with a standardised approach to delivery and performance management would allow customer contact demand to be measured and managed reliably across both councils, including increasing</p>	<p>The alternative options have been identified and considered as part of the business planning process. The reasons for each option being rejected are set out in Section 4.2 of the business case (exempt Appendix 1).</p>	<p>None</p>

Agenda Item and Recommendation	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options	Conflicts of Interest Declared and Dispensations Granted by Head of Paid Service
<p>attached final business case in relation to non-staffing matters;</p> <p>1.2 To note that the business case has been considered and approved on 14 January 2016 by the Joint Commissioning Committee with regard to staffing matters. This included consideration of consultation responses from affected staff and trade union representatives.</p> <p>1.3 To approve and implement the proposed final business case to share a joint Customer Service Team between CDC and SNC, subject to similar consideration</p>	<p>January 2016 by the Joint Commissioning Committee with regard to staffing matters and that this included consideration of consultation responses from affected staff and trade union representatives.</p> <p>(3) That the final business case to share a joint Customer Service Team between CDC and SNC be approved for implementation, subject to similar consideration and approval by SNC Cabinet on 8 February 2016.</p> <p>(4) That authority be delegated to the</p>	<p>the amount of service transactions delivered online.</p>		

Agenda Item and Recommendation	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options	Conflicts of Interest Declared and Dispensations Granted by Head of Paid Service
<p>and approval by SNC Cabinet on 8 February 2016.</p> <p>1.4 To delegate to the Director of Operational Delivery in consultation with the Leader of the Council any non-significant amendment that may be required to the business case following the decision by SNC Cabinet.</p>	<p>Director of Operational Delivery in consultation with the Leader of the Council to make any non-significant amendment that may be required to the business case following the decision by SNC Cabinet.</p>			
<p>Agenda Item 16 Proposal for a Joint Public Protection Service with South Northamptonshire Council</p> <p>Report of Director of Operational Delivery</p> <p>Recommendations</p>	<p>Resolved</p> <p>(1) That the final business case for a Joint Public Protection Service with South Northamptonshire Council and the consultation responses in relation</p>	<p>The business case represents a significant step in the revised transformation programme across CDC and SNC. The proposed joint service would provide realigned delivery teams designed to meet the statutory, commercial and shared agendas for each</p>	<p>Not to approve the recommendations. This is rejected as this business case remodels the existing services at both councils into a new joint service and as a result delivers savings in excess of the guidance targets set out in the February 2015</p>	<p>None</p>

Agenda Item and Recommendation	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options	Conflicts of Interest Declared and Dispensations Granted by Head of Paid Service
<p>The meeting is recommended:</p> <p>1.1 To consider the attached final business case and the consultation responses in relation to non-staffing matters.</p> <p>1.2 To note that the business case has been considered and approved by the Joint Commissioning Committee with regard to staffing matters on 14 January 2016. This included consideration of consultation responses from affected staff and trade union representatives.</p> <p>1.3 To approve and implement the</p>	<p>to non-staffing matters be noted.</p> <p>(2) That it be noted that the business case had been considered and approved by the Joint Commissioning Committee with regard to staffing matters on 14 January 2016 and that this included consideration of consultation responses from affected staff and trade union representatives.</p> <p>(3) That the final business case to share a Joint Public Protection Service between CDC and SNC be approved for implementation, subject to similar</p>	<p>district.</p>	<p>business case.</p>	

Agenda Item and Recommendation	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options	Conflicts of Interest Declared and Dispensations Granted by Head of Paid Service
<p>proposed final business case to share a Joint Public Protection Service between CDC and SNC, subject to similar consideration and approval by SNC Cabinet on 8 February 2016.</p> <p>1.4 To delegate to the Director of Operational Delivery in consultation with the Leader of the Council any non-significant amendment that may be required to the business case following the decision by SNC Cabinet.</p>	<p>consideration and approval by SNC Cabinet on 8 February 2016.</p> <p>(4) That authority be delegated to the Director of Operational Delivery in consultation with the Leader of the Council to make any non-significant amendment that may be required to the business case following the decision by SNC Cabinet.</p>			
<p>Agenda Item 20 Bicester Depot</p> <p>Exempt Report of Head of</p>	<p>Resolved</p> <p>(1) As set out in the exempt decisions.</p>	<p>As set out in the exempt decisions</p>	<p>As set out in the exempt decisions</p>	<p>None</p>

Agenda Item and Recommendation	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options	Conflicts of Interest Declared and Dispensations Granted by Head of Paid Service
Environmental Services	<p>(2) As set out in the exempt decisions.</p> <p>(3) As set out in the exempt decisions.</p> <p>(4) As set out in the exempt decisions.</p> <p>(5) As set out in the exempt decisions.</p> <p>(6) As set out in the exempt decisions.</p>			
<p>Agenda Item 21 Award of Contract - Refuse Collection Vehicles</p> <p>Exempt Report of Head of Finance and Procurement and Head of Environmental Services</p>	<p>Resolved</p> <p>(1) That, subject to the Cabinet of South Northamptonshire Council and the appropriate decision maker at Oxford City Council also approving</p>	<p>A procurement exercise has been undertaken in the form of a mini competition for orders of refuse collection vehicles until 28 February 2021</p> <p>Cherwell District Council, South Northamptonshire</p>	No reasonable alternatives	None

Agenda Item and Recommendation	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options	Conflicts of Interest Declared and Dispensations Granted by Head of Paid Service
	<p>the contract award, the purchase of 14 refuse collection vehicles between 2016 and 2021, including the purchase of four in 2016, on the terms outlined in the exempt report be approved.</p>	<p>Council and Oxford City Council have aggregated their RCV requirements to secure the best possible deal for RCV's</p> <p>The new contract reduces the expected capital requirement by £87k. There are also discounts on parts which is expected to deliver £9k of reduced revenue requirements for parts during the period 2016 - 2021</p>		
<p>Agenda Item 22 South West Bicester Sports Village</p> <p>Exempt Report of Director of Operational Delivery</p>	<p>Resolved</p> <p>(1) As set out in the exempt decisions.</p> <p>(2) As set out in the exempt decisions.</p> <p>(3) As set out in the</p>	<p>As set out in the exempt decisions</p>	<p>As set out in the exempt decisions</p>	<p>None</p>

Agenda Item and Recommendation	Decision	Reasons	Alternative Options	Conflicts of Interest Declared and Dispensations Granted by Head of Paid Service
	exempt decisions.			
<p>Agenda Item 23 Award of Delivery of Capital Works Programme</p> <p>Exempt Report of Head of Finance and Procurement</p>	<p>Resolved</p> <p>(1) That the recommended delivery process for the fulfilment of the Capital works programme 2015/16 and into the first quarter 2016/17 with an estimated value of up to £2.25m be approved.</p>	<p>Working with Solihull Metropolitan Borough Council and its framework provider using an open book partnership offers a timely, proven, value for money process. The contract value exceeds delegated authority limits and requires an Executive decision.</p>	<p>No reasonable alternatives</p>	<p>None</p>